CRYSTAL G. FOLEY (SBN 224627) NICHOLAS J. ANGELIDES (SBN 250127 SIMMONS BROWDER GIANARIS 2 ANGELIDES & BARNERD LLC Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 3 100 N. Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 1350 El Segundo, California 90245 APR 1 1 2014 4 Tel: (310) 322-3555 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk Fax: (310) 322-3655 5 Deputy Myrna Bettran ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP 6 DAVID A. ROSEN, ESQ. (State Bar No 101287) 7 801 S. Grand Avenue, Eleventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4645 8 Tel: (213) 626-0571 Fax: (213) 623-7755 9 D.324 EMILLE H.ECIAS Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 12 13 MIA LAUTER, individually and as Case No. BC542286 successor-in interest to ED LAUTER, 14 deceased; and EMMA LAUTER, AMEKE LAUTER, BEN LAUTER, and ANTON 15 LAUTER, as legal heirs to THIS ACTION CONSTITUTES COMPLEX ED LAUTER, deceased, ASBESTOS LITIGATION – SUBJECT TO THE 16 GENERAL ORDERS CONTAINED IN FILE NO. 17 Plaintiffs, C 700000 - DEPT. 59 VS. 18 CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH and 19 INC., successor by merger with CBS SURVIVAL ACTION-ASBESTOS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE (NEGLIGENCE; STRICT LIABILITY: 20 WILLFUL & WANTON CONDUCT/PUNITIVE ELECTRIC CORPORATION; 21 **CERTAIN-TEED CORPORATION;** DAMAGES; and PREMISES/CONTRACTOR CROWN CORK & SEAL COMPANY. LIABILITY) 22 **INC.**, individually and as successor-in-Interest to MUNDET CORK 23 CORPORATION; 24 FORD MOTOR COMPANY: FOSTER WHEELER ENERGY 25 CORPORATION: **GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY;** 26 **GEORGIA-PACIFIC LLC;** HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.; 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTION-ASBESTOS

G,

(سا

Ŀ

INDUSTRIAL HOLDINGS)
CORPORATION F/K/A THE)
CARBORUNDUM COMPANY;)
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY;)
JOHN CRANE INC.;)
SOCO WEST, INC.;)
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION;)
and)
DOES 1-525 INCLUSIVE;)
)
Defendants.)
)
)

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

COMES NOW Plaintiffs MIA LAUTER, individually and as successor-in interest to ED LAUTER, deceased; and MIA LAUTER, EMMA LAUTER, AMEKE LAUTER BEN LAUTER and ANTON LAUTER, as legal heirs to ED LAUTER, deceased, (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") and complain and allege as follows:

- 1. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, governmental or otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 350, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names and capacities of said Defendants have been ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this complaint accordingly. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each Defendant designated herein as a DOE is responsible, negligently or in some other actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, and caused injuries and damages proximately thereby to the Decedent, as hereinafter alleged.
- 2. At all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant, employee and/or joint venture of his co-Defendants, and each of them, and at all said times each Defendant was acting in the full course and scope of said agency, service, employment and/or joint venture. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege

\mathcal{G}_{i}
D:
77
⊬.
 - :
٠.,
.,
G)
L
Ľ,

that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM
INC., successor by merger with CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE
ELECTRIC CORPORATION; CERTAIN-TEED CORPORATION; CROWN CORK
& SEAL COMPANY, INC., individually and as successor-in-interest to MUNDET
CORK CORPORATION; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; FOSTER WHEELER
ENERGY CORPORATION; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; GEORGIA-
PACIFIC LLC; HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.; INDUSTRIAL
HOLDINGS CORPORATION F/K/A THE CARBORUNDUM COMPANY;
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY; JOHN CRANE INC.; SOCO WEST, INC.;
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION; and DOES 1-500 INCLUSIVE; were
individuals, corporations, partnerships and/or unincorporated associations organized and
existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, or the laws of some
other state or foreign jurisdiction, and that said Defendants, and each of them, were and
are authorized to do and are doing business in the State of California, or the laws of some
other state or foreign jurisdiction, and that said Defendants, and each of them, were and
are authorized to do and are doing business in the State of California, and that said
Defendants have regularly conducted business in the County of Los Angeles, State of
California.

- 3. Plaintiffs disclaim any cause of action or recovery for any injuries caused by any exposure to asbestos dust that occurred in a federal enclave. Plaintiffs also disclaim any cause of action or recovery for any injuries resulting from exposure to asbestos dust caused by any acts or omissions of a Defendant committed at the direction of an officer of the United States Government.
- 4. Plaintiff MIA LAUTER brings any and all Survival Causes of Action pursuant to Section 377.32 of the Code of Civil Procedure, as successor-in-interest to Decedent ED LAUTER.

5.	T	he he	irs-at-l	aw of the d	leceder	nt and their	r relationshi	p to	the deceder	nt are
MIA LA	UTER,	the su	ırvivin	ng spouse o	f Dece	dent ED L	AUTER; an	d EN	MMA LAU	ITER
AMEKE	LAUT	ΓER,	BEN	LAUTER,	and	ANTON	LAUTER,	the	surviving	adult
children (of Dece	dent I	ED LA	UTER.						

- 6. At all times prior to his death, Decedent was a loving and dutiful spouse and parent.
- 7. Plaintiffs MIA LAUTER, EMMA LAUTER, AMEKE LAUTER, BEN LAUTER and ANTON LAUTER bring any and all Wrongful Death Causes of Action pursuant to Section 377.60 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
- 8. The individuals set forth herein constitute all of the surviving heir(s) of Decedent pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.60.
- 9. Defendants DOES 501-525 may be heirs of Decedent Ed Lauter entitled to recover damages under California Code of Civil Procedure Section 377.60. Plaintiffs have not at this time ascertained the true names of said defendants and, therefore, name them as nominal defendants pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382; however, these defendants are not intended to be included within the charging allegations of "defendant" as used hereafter within this complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence)

PLAINTIFFS COMPLAIN OF ALL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1-350, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES", AND EACH OF THEM, AND FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

10. At all times herein mentioned, each of the named Defendants and DOES 1 through 350 was the successor, successor in business, successor in product line or a portion thereof, parent, subsidiary, wholly or partially owned by, or the whole or partial owner of or member in an entity researching, studying, manufacturing, fabricating,

designing, modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, re-branding, manufacturing for others, packaging and advertising a certain substance, the generic name of which is asbestos, and other products and equipment containing said substance. Said entities shall hereinafter collectively be called "alternate entities." Each of the herein named Defendants is liable for the tortious conduct of each successor, successor in business, successor in product line or a portion thereof, assign, predecessor in product line or a portion thereof, parent, subsidiary, whole or partial owner, or wholly or partially owned entity, or entity that it was a member of, or funded, that researched, repaired, marketed, warranted, re-branded, manufactured for others and advertised a certain substance, the generic name of which is asbestos, and other products and equipment containing said asbestos. The following Defendants, and each of them, are liable for the acts of each and every "alternate entity", and each of them, in that there has been a virtual destruction of Plaintiffs' remedy against each such "alternate entity"; Defendants, and each of them, have acquired the assets, product line, or a portion thereof, of each such "alternate entity"; Defendants, and each of them, have caused the destruction of Plaintiffs' remedy against each such "alternate entity"; each such Defendant has the ability to assume the risk-spreading role of each such "alternate entity"; and that each such Defendant enjoys the goodwill originally attached to each such "alternate entity."

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

2728

DEFENDANT

CBS CORPORATION

ALTERNATE ENTITY

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC
CORPORATION
WESTINGHOUSE CREDIT
CORPORATION
BF STURTEVANT
VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC.
VIACOM PLUS
CBS CORPORATION

1	<u>DEFENDANT</u>	ALTERNATE ENTITY
1 2		CBS BROADCASTING INC. (fka CBS
3		INC.) BLOCKBUSTER INC. MARKETWATCH.COM
4		SPORTSLINE.COM WESTWOOD ONE, INC.
5		VIACOM, INC.
6	CERTAINTEED CORPORATION	KEASBY & MATTISON
7		GUSTIN BACON MANUFACTURING CO.
8		
9	FORD MOTOR COMPANY	FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY FORD MOTOR DEALERSHIP
10		FACILITIES COMPANY
11		FORD MOTOR LAND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
12		FORD MOTOR LAND SERVICES CORPORATION
13		FORD MOTOR SERVICE COMPANY
14		FORD MOTOR VEHICLE ASSURANCE COMPANY
15	FOSTER WHEELER ENERGY	FOSTER WHEELER CONTRACTORS
16	CORPORATION	INC. FOSTER WHEELER CORPORATION
17	·	FOSTER WHEELER DEVELOPMENT CORP.
18		FOSTER WHEELER ENERGY
19		RESOURCES INC. FOSTER WHEELER ENERGY
20		SERVICES INC.
21		FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRESPONSE INC.
22		FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRONMENTAL CORPORATION
23		FOSTER WHEELER POWER GROUP
24		INC. FOSTER WHEELER POWER SYSTEMS
25		INC. FOSTER WHEELER PYRO POWER INC.
26		FOSTER WHEELER REALTY
27		SERVICES INC. FOSTER WHEELER USA
28		
20		6

COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTION-ASBESTOS

j--.

Ľ;

1	DEFENDANT	ALTERNATE ENTITY
2	JOHN CRANE INC.	CRANE PACKING COMPANY
3		TI GROUP PLC SMITHS GROUP PLC
4	SOCO WEST, INC.	BRENNTAG WEST, INC.
5		SOCO-LYNCH CORPORATION SOCO-WESTERN CHEMICAL
6		CORPORATION
7		STINNES-WESTERN CHEMICAL CORPORATION
8	UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION	THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY
9		UNION CARBIDE CHEMICALS AND PLASTICS COMPANY, INC.
10	,	UNION CARBIDE AND CARBON
11		CORPORATION LINDE AIR PRODUCTS COMPANY
12		NATIONAL CARBON CO. INC.
13		PREST-O-LITE CO. INC. UNION CARBIDE COMPANY
14		CARBIDE AND CARBON CHEMICALS
15		CORPORATION BAKELITE CORPORATION
		UNION CARBIDE CONSUMER
16		PRODUCTS CO. UNION CARBIDE MINING AND
17		METALS DIVISION
18		UNION CARBIDE ELECTRONICS DIVISION UNION CARBIDE HYDROCARBONS
19		DIVISION UNION CARBIDE FERROALLOYS
20		DIVISION
21		JENNAT CORPORATION AMERCHOL CORPORATION
22		UCAR CARBON COMPANY
23		UNION CARBIDE INDUSTRIAL GASES INC. PRAXAIR INC.
		POLIMERI EUROPA S.r.l. ASIAN ACETYLS COMPANY LTD.
24		EQUATE PETROCHEMICAL COMPANY
25		UNIVATION TECHNOLOGIES
26		
27		
28		8

COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH AND SURVIVAL ACTION-ASBESTOS

- 11. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, were and are engaged in the business of researching, manufacturing, fabricating, designing, modifying, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, servicing, installing, contracting for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, re-branding, manufacturing for others, packaging, and advertising a certain substance, the generic name of which is asbestos and other products, materials and equipment containing said substance and designed to utilize asbestos-containing replacement components.
- 22. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, singularly and jointly, negligently and carelessly researched, manufactured, fabricated, designed, modified, tested or failed to test, abated or failed to abate, warned or failed to warn of the health hazards of, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, bought, offered for sale, supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, installed, contracted for installation, repaired, marketed, warranted, re-branded, manufactured for others, packaged, advertised, failed to recall, and/or failed to retrofit, a certain substance, the generic name of which is asbestos, and/or other products, materials containing said substance, and/or equipment contained asbestos components and designed and intended to utilize asbestos-containing replacement components, in that said substance proximately caused personal injuries to users, consumers, workers, bystanders, and others, including the Decedent herein (hereinafter collectively called "exposed persons"), while being used in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable, thereby rendering said substance unsafe and dangerous for use by and around the "exposed persons."
- 13. Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, had a duty to exercise due care in the pursuance of the activities mentioned above and Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, breached said duty of due care.
- 14. Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, knew, or should have known, that the aforementioned asbestos and products, materials, components and

equipment containing asbestos would be transported by truck, rail, ship and other common carriers, and that in the shipping process the products would break, crumble or be otherwise damaged; and/or that such products, materials, components and equipment would be used for various applications, including, but not limited to insulation, construction, plastering, fireproofing, soundproofing, automotive and/or aircraft; and further that in the course of said applications the same would be subject to various manipulation including, but not limited to, sawing, chipping, cutting, hammering, scraping, sanding, abrasion, breaking, removal and tear-out, resulting in the release of respirable airborne asbestos fibers, and that through such foreseeable use and/or handling "exposed persons", including Decedent, would use or be in proximity of and exposed to said asbestos fibers.

- 15. Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, knew, or should have known, that the herein listed asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment would be used and/or manipulated as described above, resulting in the release of airborne asbestos fibers, and that through such foreseeable use and/or manipulation "exposed persons", including Decedent, would be in proximity to and exposed to said asbestos fibers.
- 16. Decedent Ed Lauter, had used, handled, or been otherwise exposed to asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components, and equipment referred to herein in a manner that was reasonably foreseeable. Decedent Ed Lauter's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment occurred at various locations as set forth in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 17. Plaintiff further allege that Decedent Ed Lauter's injuries are a result of exposure to asbestos and various asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment manufactured, fabricated, inadequately researched, designed, modified, inadequately tested, labeled, assembled, distributed, leased, brought, offered for sale,

supplied, sold, inspected, serviced, installed, contracted for installation, repaired, marketed, warranted, re-branded, manufactured for others, packaged and advertised by the aforementioned Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, that Plaintiffs cannot identify precisely which asbestos or asbestos-containing products caused and/or contributed to the injuries complained of herein. Among the injurious exposures alleged herein are Decedent Ed Lauter's exposures to asbestos supplied with, affixed and/or added to, and/or installed including, but not limited to: joint compounds, stucco, gun plastic cement, compressors, insulation, brakes, clutch facings, automotive friction materials, and other automotive components.

- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of the Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, as aforesaid, Decedent Ed Lauter's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused severe and permanent injury to the Decedent, proximately resulting in his death, the nature of which, along with the date of Decedent Ed Lauter's diagnosis, are set forth in Exhibit "B", which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that progressive lung disease, cancer and other serious diseases are caused by inhalation of asbestos fibers without immediate perceptible trauma, and that said diseases resulting from exposure to asbestos develop over a period of time.
- 20. Decedent Ed Lauter, suffered from and died from a condition called malignant mesothelioma, caused by exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components, and equipment. Decedent Ed Lauter was not aware at the time of exposure that asbestos and/or asbestos-containing products, materials, components, and equipment presented of injury and/or disease.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, Decedent Ed Lauter suffered permanent injuries to his person, body and health, including, but not limited to, malignant

mesothelioma, other lung damage and cancer, from the effect of exposure to asbestos fibers, proximately resulting in his death on October 16, 2013, all to the general damage of his heirs and estate in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of a limited civil case.

- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, Plaintiffs have incurred liability for physicians, surgeons, nurses, hospital care, medicine, hospice care, X-rays and other medical treatment, the true and exact amount thereof being unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, and Plaintiffs pray leave to amend this complaint accordingly when the true and exact cost thereof is ascertained.
- 23. As a further direct and proximate result of the said conduct of the said conduct of the Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, Plaintiffs have been, and in the future will be, deprived of the supports, society, solace, care comfort, companionship, affection, advice, services and guidance of Decedent Ed Lauter. Plaintiffs have also incurred, and will incur pecuniary loss, loss of support and other pecuniary losses, the full nature and extent of which are not yet known to Plaintiffs; and leave is requested to amend this complaint to conform to proof at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

///

20 | ///

21 | ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Strict Liability)

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE, FURTHER AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR STRICT LIABILITY, PLAINTIFFS COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS, DOES 1-350, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES", AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 24. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the General Allegations, and the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10-11 and 17-23 of the First Cause of Action.
- 25. Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, knew or should have known that the above-referenced asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components, and equipment would be used by the purchaser or user without inspection for defects therein or in any of their component parts and without knowledge of the hazards involved in such use.
- 26. Said asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment were defective and unreasonably dangerous in that the inhalation of asbestos fibers causes serious disease and/or death. The defect existed in the said asbestos, products, materials, components and equipment at the time they left the possession of the Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them. Said asbestos, products, materials, components, and equipment did, in fact, cause personal injuries, including lung damage and cancer to "exposed persons", including Decedent Ed Lauter, herein, while being used in a reasonably foreseeable manner, thereby rendering the same defective, unsafe, and dangerous for use.
- 27. "Exposed persons" including Decedent Ed Lauter, did not know of the substantial danger of using said products, materials, components, and equipment. Said dangers were not readily recognizable by "exposed persons." Said Defendants, their

"alternate entities", and each of them, further failed to adequately warn of the risks to which Decedent Ed Lauter, and others similarly situated were exposed.

- 28. Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, knew or should have known that the above-referenced asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components, and equipment would be used by the purchaser or user without inspection for defects therein or in any of their component parts and without knowledge of the hazards involved in such use.
- 29. The above-referenced asbestos and asbestos-containing products were defective and unsafe for their intended purpose in that they released asbestos fibers and asbestos-containing dust when used in an intended or reasonably foreseeable manner, and as set forth above, the inhalation of asbestos fibers and asbestos-containing dust causes serious disease and/or death. In their release of respirable asbestos fibers into the air during foreseeable use or manipulation of these products, the products failed to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected them to perform.
- 30. The products that caused personal injuries to "exposed persons," including Decedent herein, while being used in a reasonably foreseeable manner, also were defective in that the gravity of the potential harm resulting from the use of Defendants' products as described above, and the likelihood that serious disease and/or death would occur, outweighed the cost of feasible alternative designs, including providing adequate warnings of such potential harm.
- Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, placed these products into the stream of commerce with the intent that they reach the ultimate consumer in the same or substantially the same condition as when they left the Defendants' possession; and these products did reach the Decedent and other "exposed persons" in the same or substantially the same condition as when they left the Defendants' possession.

32. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing defects and failure to warn, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages alleged herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and their "alternate entities", and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Willful and Wanton Conduct/Punitive Damages)

PLAINTIFFS COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1-350, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES", AND EACH OF THEM, AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE, FURTHER AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WILLFUL AND WANTON CONDUCT, ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 33. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the General Allegations and the allegations contained in the First and Second Causes of Action.
- 34. At all relevant times, Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them knew that asbestos was a dangerous and toxic substance, and knew the risks to "exposed persons" associated with the use and manipulation of asbestos and asbestoscontaining products, materials, components and equipment as aforesaid. Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them knew that "exposed persons" including Decedent Ed Lauter and other members of the general public had no or insufficient knowledge and information concerning said danger and the risks to "exposed persons" associated with the use and manipulation of asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment as aforesaid.
- 35. With such knowledge and in furtherance of their own financial interests, Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them willfully, wantonly and maliciously engaged in researching, manufacturing, designing, modifying, testing or failing to test, warning or failing to warn, labeling, assembling, distributing, leasing, buying, offering for sale, supplying, selling, inspecting, servicing, installing, contracting

for installation, repairing, marketing, warranting, re-branding, manufacturing for others, packaging and advertising asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment as aforesaid with conscious disregard for, and indifference to, the health and safety of "exposed persons, including Decedent Ed Lauter.

- 36. With such knowledge and in furtherance of their own financial interests, Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them willfully, wantonly, maliciously and with conscious disregard for, and indifference to, the health and safety of "exposed persons, including Decedent Ed Lauter, failed and refused to undertake precautionary measures, including but not limited to failing and refusing to provide warnings and/or information, to protect "exposed persons" and/or otherwise reduce or eliminate the risks to "exposed persons" associated with the use and manipulation of asbestos and asbestoscontaining products, materials, components and equipment as aforesaid.
- 37. In addition to the willful, wanton and malicious acts and omissions above-described, Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, intentionally and in furtherance of their own financial interests, took steps to suppress and/or corrupt the public dissemination and availability of information concerning the dangerous and toxic nature of asbestos, and the risks to "exposed persons" associated with the use and manipulation of asbestos and asbestos-containing products, materials, components and equipment as aforesaid.
- 38. The officers, directors and managing agents of Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, authorized, expressly and impliedly ratified, participated-in, knew or should have known, and/or were otherwise responsible for, the foregoing willful, wanton, malicious and intended acts and omissions.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the same, Decedent Ed Lauter suffered the injuries herein alleged for which Plaintiff is entitled to recover compensatory damages.

40. Because the acts and omissions of Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, were willful, wanton, malicious, intended and conscious disregard for, and indifference to, the health and safety of "exposed persons, including Decedent Ed Lauter, an award of punitive damages is appropriate and necessary to punish Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, and deter Defendants, their "alternate entities," and each of them, as well as others, from engaging in like misconduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, as is hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Premises Owner/Contractor Liability)

AS FOR A FURTHER, FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION PLAINTIFFS COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS DOES 351-500, THEIR "ALTERNATE ENTITIES", AND EACH OF THEM, (hereinafter "PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS"), AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 41. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein, the General Allegations.
- 42. At all times herein mentioned, the PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS were successor, successor-in-business, assign, predecessor, predecessor-in-business, parent, subsidiary, wholly or partially owned by, or the whole or partial owner of an entity causing certain asbestos-containing products and/or machinery requiring or calling for the use of asbestos and/or asbestos-containing products and/or products which caused the release of respirable asbestos fibers and/or asbestos-containing insulation, other building materials, products, to be constructed, installed, maintained, used, managed, and/or controlled by them. Said entities shall hereinafter collectively be called "alternate entities". Each of the herein-named defendants is liable for the tortious conduct of each successor, successor-in-business, assign, predecessor-in-business, parent,

subsidiary, whole or partial owner, or wholly or partially owned entity, that caused the presence as aforesaid of said asbestos-containing products and insulation. Said defendants, and each of them, are liable for the acts of each and every "alternate entity", and each of them, in that there has been a virtual destruction of plaintiffs' remedy against each such "alternate entity"; defendants, and each of them, have acquired the assets, or a portion thereof, of each such "alternate entity"; defendants, and each of them, have caused the destruction of plaintiffs' remedy against each such "alternate entity"; each such defendant has the ability to assume the risk-spreading role of each such "alternate entity", and that each such defendant enjoys the goodwill originally attached to each such "alternate entity".

- 43. At all times mentioned herein, the PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, respectively, owned, leased, maintained, managed and/or controlled the following premises when Decedent Ed Lauter was present as set forth in the attached Exhibit "A". Additionally, Decedent might have been present at other PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS' premises at other locations and on other occasions.
 - 44. Prior to and at said times and places, said PREMISES

OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, respectively, caused certain asbestos-containing products and/or machinery requiring or calling for the use of asbestos and/or asbestos-containing products and/or products which caused the release of respirable asbestos fibers and/or asbestos-containing insulation, other building materials, products, to be constructed, installed, maintained, used, supplied, replaced, repaired, and/or removed on each of the aforesaid respective premises, by their own workers and/or by various contractors and/or subcontractors, and caused the release of dangerous quantities of toxic asbestos fibers into the ambient air and thereby created a hazardous and unsafe condition to Decedent Ed Lauter, and other persons exposed to said asbestos while present at said premises.

45. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, knew or in the exercise or ordinary and reasonable care should have known, that the foregoing conditions and activities created a dangerous, hazardous, and unsafe condition and unreasonable risk of harm and personal injury to Decedent Ed Lauter, and other workers or persons so exposed, present at each of the aforesaid respective premises.

- 46. At all times relevant herein, Decedent Ed Lauter, entered said premises and used or occupied each of said respective premises as intended and for each of the respective PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS' benefit and advantage and at PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS' request and invitation. In so doing, Decedent Ed Lauter was exposed to dangerous quantities of asbestos fibers released into the ambient air by the aforesaid hazardous conditions and activities managed, maintained, initiated, and/or otherwise created, controlled, or caused by said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, thereby causing Decedent Ed Lauter to be exposed.
- 47. Decedent Ed Lauter at all times was unaware of the hazardous condition or the risk of personal injury created by the aforesaid presence and use of asbestos products and materials on said premises.
- 48. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR
 LIABILITY DEFENDANTS remained in control of the premises where Decedent Ed
 Lauter, was, was performing their work.
- 49. At all times mentioned herein, the PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS owed to Decedent Ed Lauter, and others similarly situated, a duty to exercise ordinary care in the management of such premises in order to avoid exposing workers such as Decedent to an unreasonable risk of harm and to avoid causing injury to said persons.

-//

- 50. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, knew, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care should have known, that the premises that were in their control would be used without knowledge of, or inspection for, defects or dangerous conditions; and that the persons present and using said premises would not be aware of the aforesaid hazardous conditions to which they were exposed on the premises.
- 51. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, negligently failed to maintain, manage, inspect, survey, or control said premises or to abate or correct, or to warn Decedent of, the existence of the aforesaid dangerous conditions and hazards on said premises.
- 52. Prior to and at the times and places aforesaid, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, respectively, caused certain asbestos-containing products and/or machinery requiring or calling for the use of asbestos and/or asbestos-containing products and/or products which caused the release of respirable asbestos fibers and/or asbestos-containing insulation, other building materials, products to be constructed, installed, maintained, used, replaced, repaired, and/or removed on each of their aforesaid respective premises, by their own workers and/or by employing various contractors and/or subcontractors, and caused the release of dangerous quantities of toxic asbestos fibers into the ambient air and thereby injured Decedent.
- 53. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, and each of them, should have recognized that the work of said contractors and/or subcontractors would create during the progress of the work, dangerous, hazardous, and unsafe conditions which could or would harm Decedent and others unless special precautions were taken.
- 54. In part, Decedent Ed Lauter was exposed to dangerous quantities of asbestos fibers by reason of such contractor and/or subcontractors' failure to take the necessary precautions.

55.	The work of cont	ractors and/or subco	ntractors on premise	s controlled by the
PREMISES	OWNER/CONTR	ACTOR LIABILI	TY DEFENDANTS	S created unsafe
premises and	l an unsafe work j	place by reason of	the release of dange	erous quantities of
asbestos.				
56.	The unsafe prem	ises or work place	was created, in part	, by the negligent
conduct of	the contractors	and/or subcontract	ors employed by	the PREMISES
OWNER/CO	NTRACTOR LIA	BILITY DEFENDA	NTS. Said negligen	t conduct includes,

- (a) Failure to warn of asbestos dust;
- (b) Failure to suppress the asbestos-containing dust;
- (c) Failure to remove the asbestos-containing dust through use of ventilation or appropriate means;
- (d) Failure to provide adequate breathing protection, i.e., approved respirators or masks;
 - (e) Failure to inspect and/or test the air;
 - (f) Failure to provide medical monitoring;
- (g) Failure to select and hire careful and competent contractors or subcontractors;
 - (h) Negligently and carelessly providing service contracts; and
- (i) Negligently and carelessly providing designs, specifications and purchase requisitions calling for the installation and use of hazardous asbestos and asbestos containing products and equipment.
- 57. The PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS' duty to maintain and provide safe premises, a safe place to work, and to warn of dangerous conditions are non-delegable; said duties arise out of common law, Civil Code of Procedure, section 1714, and California Labor Code, section 6400, et seq., or Health and Safety Code, section 40.200, et seq., and regulations promulgated thereunder. Therefore,

but is not limited to:

the PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS are responsible for any breach of said duties whether by themselves or others.

58. Prior to and at said times PREMISES and places. said OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS were subject to certain ordinances, statutes, and other governmental regulations promulgated by the United States Government, the State of California, and others, including, but not limited to, the General Industry Safety Orders promulgated pursuant to California Labor Code, section 6400 and the California Administrative Code under the Division of Industrial Safety, Department of Industrial Relations, including, but not limited to: Title VIII, Group 9 (Control of Hazardous Substances), Article 81, sections 4150, 4106, 4107, and 4108, and Threshold Limit Values as documented for asbestos and other toxic substances under Appendix A. Table 1 of said Safety Orders; additionally, California Health and Safety Code, section 40.200, et seq., which empowers the South Coast Area Air Quality Management District to promulgate regulations including, but limited to: S.C.A.A.Q.M.D. Rule 1403; Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1, Part 61, et seq. -- The National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, which required said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS to provide specific safeguards or precautions to prevent or reduce the inhalation of asbestos dust; and said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS failed to provide the required safeguards and precautions, or contractors employed by the PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS failed to provide the required safeguards and precautions. Defendant's violations of said codes include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Failing to comply with statutes and allowing ambient levels of airborne asbestos fiber to exceed the permissible/allowable levels with regard to the aforementioned statutes;
 - (b) Failing to segregate work involving the release of asbestos dust;
 - (c) Failing to suppress dust using prescribed ventilation techniques;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	o

2

3

/ 1\	TO 111 4	1	'1 1	((, 1))	
(d)	Failing to suppress	dust using	prescribed	"wet down"	techniques
(4)	Taiming to suppress	aast asiiig	preserreda	Wet do Wii	toomiques

- (e) Failing to warn or educate Decedent or others regarding asbestos on the premises;
 - (f) Failing to provide approved respiratory protection devices;
- (g) Failing to ensure "approved" respiratory protection devices were used properly;
- (h) Failing to provide for an on-going health screening program for those exposed to asbestos on the premises;
- (i) Failing to provide adequate housekeeping and clean-up of the work place;
- (j) Failing to properly warn of the hazards associated with asbestos as required by these statutes;
- (k) Failing to properly report renovation and disturbance of asbestos-containing materials, including, but not limited to: S.C.A.A.Q.M.D. Rule 1403;
- (l) Failing to have an asbestos removal supervisor as required by regulation;
 - (m) Failing to get approval for renovation as required by statutes; and
 - (n) Failing to maintain records as required by statute.
- 59. PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, were the "statutory employer" of Decedent Ed Lauter, as defined in the California Labor Code and California case law.
- 60. Decedent Ed Lauter at all times was unaware of the hazardous condition or the risk of personal injury created by defendants' violation of said regulations, ordinances, or statutes.
- 61. At all times mentioned herein, Decedent Ed Lauter was a member of the class of persons whose safety was intended to be protected by the regulations, statutes, or ordinances described in the foregoing paragraphs.

62. At all times mentioned herein, said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR
LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, knew, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care
should have known, that the premises that were in their control would be used without
knowledge of, or inspection for, defects or dangerous conditions, that the persons present
and using said premises would not be aware of the aforesaid hazardous conditions to which
they were exposed on the premises, and that such persons were unaware of the aforesaid
violations of codes, regulations, and statutes.

- 63. As a legal consequence of the foregoing, Decedent Ed Lauter developed and died an asbestos-related illness, which caused great injury and disability as previously set forth, and Plaintiff has suffered damages as alleged herein.
- 64. The herein-described conduct of said PREMISES OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, their "alternate entities", were and are willful, malicious, fraudulent, outrageous, and in conscious disregard and indifference to the safety and health of "exposed persons". Plaintiff, for the sake of example and by way of punishing said defendants, seeks punitive damages according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against PREMISES

OWNER/CONTRACTOR LIABILITY DEFENDANTS, their "alternate entities", as hereinafter set forth.

19 | ///

21 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, their "alternate entities", and each of them, in an amount to be proved at trial in each individual case, as follows:

FOR DECEDENT ED LAUTER, by and through his successor-in-interest, MIA LAUTER, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 377.30, et seq.:

- 1. For DECEDENT'S medical and related expenses according to proof;
- 2. For DECEDENT'S loss of income and income potential;
- 3. For exemplary or punitive damages according to proof;

FOR PLAINTIFFS MIA LAUTER, EMMA LAUTER, AMEKE LAUTER BEN LAUTER and ANTON LAUTER, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 377.60, et seq.:

- 4. For Plaintiffs' general damages according to proof including damages for loss of love, companionship, comfort, affection, solace, moral support and/or society according to proof caused by DECEDENT'S death;
- 5. For Plaintiffs' loss of income and income potential caused by DECEDENT'S death, and for Plaintiffs' loss of Decedent's financial support and financial contributions;
- 6. For funeral and burial expenses caused by DECEDENT'S death and;

FOR ALL PLAINTIFFS:

- 7. For Plaintiffs' cost of suit herein;
- 8. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, including costs and prejudgment interest as provided in C.C.P. § 998, C.C.P. § 1032 and related provisions of law.

Dated: April 11, 2014

SIMMONS BROWDER GIANARIS ANGELIDES & BARNERD LLC &

ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP

Crystal G: Foley
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated: April 11, 2014

SIMMONS BROWDER GIANARIS ANGELIDES & BARNERD LLC &

ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS LLP

By:

Crystal G. Foley
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT "A"

Decedent Ed Lauter's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products occurred at various locations in the State of California, including but not limited to the following:

Employer	Location	Job Title	Exposure Dates
Various	Various movie studios and sets in the Los Angeles, CA area	Actor	Approx. 1958-1979

EXHIBIT "B"

Decedent Ed Lauter's exposure to asbestos and asbestos-containing products caused severe and permanent injury to the Decedent including, but not limited to, breathing difficulties, asbestosis, malignant mesothelioma, lung and/or other cancer, and/or other lung damage.

Decedent Ed Lauter was diagnosed with malignant mesothelioma on or about June 2013, and subsequently thereto, became aware that the same was wrongfully caused.

Decedent Ed Lauter passed away from malignant pleural mesothelioma on or about October 16, 2013.

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar r Crystal G. Foley (SBN 224627)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
SIMMONS BROWDER GIANARIS ANGI	ELDIES & BARNERD LLC	
100 N. Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 1350		
El Segundo, CA 90245 TELEPHONE NO.: 310-322-3555	FAX NO.: 310-322-3655	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs	FAX NO.: 310-322-3033	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO	s Angeles	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	s Aligeles	
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 900	12	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk		
CASE NAME:		
Mia Lauter, et al. v. CBS Corporation	n, et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
Unlimited Limited		<u>BC542286</u>
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	INDOE:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	ow must be completed (see instructions	ин раув 2).
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
✓ Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15) 2. This case is is not come	Other judicial review (39)	ulas of Court If the court is a second to the
2. This case is is not comp factors requiring exceptional judicial management. in the comp factors requiring exceptional judicial management. in the comp factors required to the c	gement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
a. Large number of separately repres	sented parties d. 🗹 Large numbe	er of witnesses
b. 🗹 Extensive motion practice raising of	difficult or novel e. 🚺 Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more court
issues that will be time-consuming		ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentar	ry evidence f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c. v punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): For		 .
5. This case is is not a clas		
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015.)
Date: April 11, 2014		
Crystal G. Foley (SBN 224627)	•	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTOMNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the f	NOTICE	og (evcent small claims assås at assas flad
under the Probate Code, Family Code. or \	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		' analy to me may result
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cove		armont and a grant of this case of the same of the sam
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et so other parties to the action or proceeding. 	seq. or the California Rules of Court, yo	u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Inless this is a collections case under rule	3 740 or a complex case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only

N. (i)

Ľ:

CASE NUMBER

BC542286

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? ✓ YES CLASS ACTION? ☐ YES LIMITED CASE? ☐ YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 10+ ☐ HOURS! ☑ DAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps − If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column ♠, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. 2. May be filed in central (other countly, or no bodily injury) property damage). 3. Location where peditioner resides. 4. Location where podily injury, death or damage occurred, 5. Location where or more of the parties reside. 1. Location of or more of the parties reside. 1. Location of commissioner Office where performance required or defendant resides. 1. Location of commissioner Office or more of the parties reside. 1. Location of commissioner Office where performance required or defendant resides. 1. Location of where one or more of the parties reside. 1. Location of commissioner Office where one or more of the parties reside. 1. Location of commissioner Office where the court of the parties reside. 1. Location of commissioner Office where the court of the parties reside. 1. Location of Labor Commissioner Office where the court of the parties reside. 1. Location of Labor Commissioner Offi

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

Ľ:

of Fo

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort :-

Mia Lauter, et al. v. CBS Corporation, et al.

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Employment

Contract

Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

 \mathbb{C}

4:

		1
Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination ′	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.
	A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10.
	☐ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06)	☐ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.
(not insurance)	☐ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.
	☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
0.11(2	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
Collections (09)	☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
Other Contract (37)	□ A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.
	☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	□ A6032 Quiet Title	2., 6.
- v - · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
		<u> </u>

Mia Lauter, et al. v. CBS Corporation, et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Provisionally Complex Litigation Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	 □ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	 □ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition 	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
l	·		

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes under Column C for the type of action this case.	nat you hav	e selected for	ADDRESS: 818 W. Seventh Street
CITY: Los Angeles	STATE:	ZIP CODE: 90017	
and correct and that the above-entitle	ed matter	is properly file	erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and for assignment to the Central Civil West courthouse in the Inia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].			•

Dated: April 11, 2014

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PAPTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

4: